

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,448	09/08/2003	Patricia L. Shenosky	SHENP101US	1469
29393 7	590 12/18/2006		EXAMINER '	
ESCHWEILER & ASSOCIATES, LLC NATIONAL CITY BANK BUILDING			LHYMN, EUGENE	
	AVE., SUITE 1000	o	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND	OH 44114		3781	
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/657,448	SHENOSKY, PATRICIA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eugene Lhymn	3781				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S						
,-						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex parie Quayle, 1935 C.t	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 11-17 and 21 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
, —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · —	Claim(s) 11-17 and 21 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
o) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	- main aiku umdar 25 H S C	S 110(a) (d) as (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	, — , , , , , ,	Summan (PTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 3781

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 12 recites the limitation "the second substantially opposing portion" and "the first substantially opposing portion." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 11-15, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edwards (US 2431030). With respect to claim 11, Edwards discloses the following:
 - Body having an open end (Fig. 1, item 1)
 - First fastening means (item 9)
 - Second fastening means (item 14)

With respect to claim 12, Edwards discloses the second fastening means being disposed over the first fastening means, as shown in Fig. 1.

With respect to claim 13, Edwards discloses at least of one of the first and second fastening means being a buckle.

Art Unit: 3781

With respect to claim 14, Edwards discloses the first fastening means 9 having a first and second length of material operable to engage each other, wherein said lengths engage each other when the user lifts the sack 1 via fastening means 9.

With respect to claim 15, Edwards discloses the first fastening means having at least one grommet 8.

With respect to claim 21, Edwards discloses the first and second fastening means fastening a first and second opposing portions as shown in Fig. 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards in view of Berkowitz (US 1587891). With respect to claim 16, Edwards discloses the claimed invention except for the following:
 - At least one button secured to the outside and inside of the sack, and corresponding button holes for each said button

However, Berkowitz teaches a similar handbag having a button interface 3 on the outside of the sack, and a corresponding button hole. Also, Berkowitz teaches providing partitions for a handbag, and providing another button 8, which is on the inside of the sack, and a corresponding button hole, as shown in Fig. 3, so as to

Art Unit: 3781

improve storage of items in the sack. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the buckle of Edwards with a button interface, and to add a divider and corresponding button closure to the interior of the sack of Edwards, as taught by Berkowitz so as to provide an alternate closure, and to improve storage capabilities.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 9/28/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically, Applicant argues that the first fastening means of Edwards is not a fastening means, however a draw cord is a fastening means.

With respect to claim 14, Edwards discloses the second fastening means 9 overlying the first fastening means 9.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3781

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Lhymn whose telephone number is 571-272-8712. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWT 6-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EL .

ANTHONY D. STASHICK